(3) CHHA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3896/2003

PETITIONER : Paras Ram Sahu, Son of late Shri Bedram Sahu, aged about 58 years, posted as Deputy Ranger, Range Assistant Khodri, C/o. Divisional Forest Officer, Marwahi Division, Pendra Road, resident of Khodri, District : Bilaspur (C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

2. Chief Conservator of Forest, Raipur, Distt : Raipur (CG)

3. Conservator of Forest, Surguja, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C G)

4. Divisional Forest Officer, South Suguja, Division : Ambikapur, and Managing Director, South Surguja, ZILA UNION, AMBIKAPUR, Distt : Surguja (C G).

5. Shri Rameshwar Prasad Gond, Manager, LAGHUVAN SAMITI Tara, Range : Udaypur, District : Surguja (C G).

Clerk L. A. C. Bilaspur

P. R. No. 3695/03
Presented by Shri U.L.C.P.Medley
dated 21.11.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, WRIT DIRECTION ..... ETC.

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

1. Particulars of the Petitioner :

As mentioned above in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

(125)

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3896/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13.02.2004 Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State. The petitioner has preferred this Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenging the orders of respondent No.4 dated 11.11.2003 whereby respondent No.4 has ordered for recovery of Rs.2,000/- per month from the salary of the petitioner and order dated 20.09.2003 whereby the recovery of Rs.1,000/-per month from the salary of the petitioner has been ordered. Relevant orders are Annexure P-1 & P-2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said recoveries have been ordered by respondent No.4 without giving show cause notice to the petitioner and without giving any opportunity of hearing or explaining the circumstances by the petitioner and further on the other hand respondent No.4 has issued a charge sheet to the petitioner and at the another hand without completing the enquiry respondent No.4 has ordered for recovery of the said amount. Therefore, learned counsel submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3 to which learned counsel for the respondent No.1 to 4 has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within 15 days from today to respondent No.3	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

126

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3896/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	and in turn respondent No. 3 after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall decide the representation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner in accordance with the law. With the above directions the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.W.P.No.3499/2003 & I.A. No.11,352/2003 for urgent hearing stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Roshan/-

[पीछे देखिये